# 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

### 1.1 PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Discovery in this action is likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the Court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 12.3, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

# 1.2 GOOD CAUSE STATEMENT

a. Plaintiff Icon: As alleged in the Second Amended Complaint, this case involves a much-needed (and much-supported) mixed-use complex in Panorama City that plaintiff The Icon at Panorama, LLC ("Icon") seeks to build. However, the Union Defendants (Carpenters and Laborers and associated individuals), with the help of the Union Defendants' consultants — defendants SWAPE, LLC (SWAPE) and Smith Engineering & Management, Inc. ("SEM") — have prevented Icon from building the Project by repeatedly filing bogus environmental challenges under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") unless and until Icon caves to the Unions' demands to use exclusively union labor on its projects. The Unions also used their other unlawful agreements with contractors and developers to pressure Icon to cede to their demands. The

Unions used this unlawful playbook over and over again to dominate the labor market on large projects in and around Los Angeles County.

Since April 2017, the Unions have filed more than 27 challenges to the Project before 4 different government agencies. The Unions lost at every step of the administrative process; after more than two years of litigation the Unions prevailed on two narrow grounds (that the City did not follow CEQA in approving the Project) that were not raised by the Unions until the final stages of review.

Icon alleges that the Unions are attempting to and engaging in a conspiracy to monopolize the labor market in violation of § 2 of the Sherman Act; directing a group boycott in violation of § 1 of the Act; and engaging in a conspiracy to enter into an exclusive dealing arrangement in violation of § 1 of the Act. Icon further alleges that the Unions committed unfair labor practices (for which they are liable in money damages under the Labor Management Relations Act) by unlawfully inducing contractors to boycott Icon in violation of the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA") § 8(b)(4)(i) and coercing Icon to sign a union-exclusivity agreement in violation of NLRA § 8(b)(4)(ii).

The above claims raise the following issues on which discovery will be taken:

- Defendants' CEQA challenges are objectively and subjectively baseless, and therefore, not protected by the First Amendment. Put differently, they are within the "sham" exception to the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine and are not immune from antitrust liability.
- Defendants are attempting and conspiring to monopolize the labor market for large construction projects in and adjacent to Los Angeles County. Through their anticompetitive tactics, the Unions (with the help of the other Defendants) seek to boost their own membership rolls (and thus their revenue from dues) by excluding nonunion contractors

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 from the labor market for such construction projects in and adjacent to Los Angeles County.

- The Relevant Market is the sale of labor on large real estate projects within or adjacent to Los Angeles County, California. Such large real estate projects include (but are not limited to) those that fall within the purview of the Department of City Planning's "Major Projects Unit," which enables the Department to conduct a more thorough and focused analysis of large, complex projects that have the potential to generate the most significant effects on the City's infrastructure, local economy, and environment.
- The Unions (with the help of the other Defendants and third parties) have orchestrated a group boycott whereby participating general contractors will not work with any developer who does not agree to use exclusively union contractors.
- Through the use of "most-favored nation" and similar clauses, Defendants are attempting to and conspiring to enter into unlawful exclusive dealing arrangements with developers and contractors in the Relevant Market.
- Defendants are not entitled to invoke the statutory and nonstatutory labor exemptions to antitrust liability because they have joined with non-labor groups, are not engaged in a legitimate union activity (i.e., seeking to organize Icon's employees), and seek to coerce agreements to use exclusively union contractors outside the context of collective bargaining.
- Defendants antitrust violations have caused a "competitive injury" to the Relevant Market, including but not limited to foreclosing nonunion contractors, higher labor costs, and a shortage of low cost housing.

• The agreement Defendants sought from Icon (and obtained from other developers) violates the NLRA and would have forced Icon to stop doing business with nonunion contractors. The agreement Defendants sought does not fall within the construction industry proviso of Section 8(e) of the NLRA because there is no collective bargaining relationship at issue, particularly where Icon has no employees for the Unions to represent, and because Icon is not an "employer in the construction industry."

Additionally, Icon seeks past and future damages resulting from Defendants' anticompetitive and otherwise unlawful conduct in violation of federal antitrust statutes and the Labor Management Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 187. Icon contends it has suffered, continues to suffer, and will suffer harm related to increased labor costs, project delays, and defending itself against the Unions' sham litigation tactics, including but not limited to project carrying costs, lost opportunity costs, lost profits and attorneys' fees.

Based on the above claims and issues in this case, Icon anticipates that discovery of sensitive, confidential and proprietary business and financial information will be sought, such as: financial analyses of proposed development projects (cost, revenue, margins, etc.); budget analyses of ongoing projects; profit and loss statements; and construction plans and drawings containing confidential design information. Disclosure of sensitive, confidential and proprietary business and financial information in civil discovery should be done only under properly fashioned protective orders. *See, e.g., Evolv Health, LLC v. Cosway USA, Inc.*, 2017 WL 3048651, at \*2 n. 2 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 21, 2017) (a protective order sufficiently addresses "concerns regarding the disclosure of proprietary information"); *EFT Holdings, Inc. v. CTX Virtual Tech., Inc.*, 2016 WL 11519280, at \*3 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2016) (district courts allow "disclosure of financial information in civil discovery under properly fashioned protective orders").

DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT: This case involves a collateral attack in federal court on successful litigation by two unions ("Union Defendants") against Plaintiff's development project for CEQA violations in Los Angeles Superior Court and an attempt to convert Union Defendants' efforts to resolve that dispute (i.e. settlement-related discussions) into an anti-trust (and related LMRA Section 303, 29 U.S.C. Section 187) claim. It also involves an effort to uncover the Union Defendants' litigation and settlement strategy in connection with lawful petitioning efforts—in administrative and judicial fora, as well as associated lobbying of municipal officials—in an effort to impose damages and otherwise deter or preclude the Union Defendants from engaging in such efforts. As such, whether this issue is decided after preliminary, limited discovery in which the applicability of Noerr-Pennington immunity to the dispute is--along with potentially dispositive issues related to whether any alleged harm/injury/damages to Plaintiff arose solely from this single proceeding--the key threshold legal issue (see Franchise Realty 14 Interstate Corp. v. S.F. Local Joint Exec. Bd. of Culinary Workers, 542 F.2d 1076, 1082 (9th Cir. 1976), or after a broader range of discovery, it is clear that facts Plaintiff seeks to adduce relate to the Union Defendants' organizing, litigation and strategies, including their political and other policy goals and their methodologies for conducting their efforts to achieve them. These issues necessarily implicate Union and other Defendants' trade secrets, attorney-client privilege, work product privilege and other data which derives its value and efficacy from its non-public nature, including the contents of the Union Defendants' agreements with other entities (which may be of value to their competitors). Moreover, the damages and injury issues Plaintiff must prove also likely implicate cost and other data related to Plaintiff's development efforts that also derives its value from its non-public nature. 26

The extensiveness of the need for a protective order here is further illustrated by the following disputed issues:

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- whether the agreement sought was within the construction industry proviso of § 8(e) of the NLRA, 29 U.S.C.S. § 158(e), which turns in part on decisions by the other party to the agreement with respect to its intended involvement in the retention of workers and other labor relations questions—matters that most employers would not want to make available to their competitors and, possibly, might want to withhold from potential vendors in order to obtain more competitive bids or other financial advantage;
- the scope of Plaintiff's injury, which turns on Plaintiff's cost and other estimates and whether Plaintiff can show that an injury was incurred "by reason of" the alleged § 8(b)(4) violation;
- what the Union Defendants' objectives are and how the Union Defendants and their alleged agents went about trying to achieve them;
- the extent, if any, that the Union Defendants' actual objectives correspond to the anti-competitive scheme alleged and whether the nature and scope of those objectives is a proper predicate for anti-trust and labor law liability or, instead, constitute fully lawful union objectives legally insulated from the imposition of such liability;
- whether the Union Defendants' activities are the pursuit of a legitimate labor objective immune from anti-trust scrutiny and, if not, whether they are justified by a rule of reason, which, in itself, turns on internal business decisions and calculations made by the Union Defendants; and
- whether the allegedly anti-competitive effects posed a dangerous probability of obtaining a monopoly in the relevant market and constitute a cognizable anti-trust injury.

Accordingly, to expedite the flow of information, to facilitate the prompt resolution of disputes over confidentiality of discovery materials, to adequately protect information the Parties are entitled to keep confidential, to ensure that the Parties are permitted reasonable necessary uses of such material in preparation for

and in the conduct of trial, to address their handling at the end of the litigation, and to serve the ends of justice, a protective order for such information is justified in this matter. It is the intent of the Parties that information will not be designated as confidential for tactical reasons and that nothing be so designated without a good faith belief that it has been maintained in a confidential, non-public manner and there is good cause why it should not be part of the public record of this case.

7

8

#### 2.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

# **DEFINITIONS**

- Action: The Icon at Panorama, LLC v. Southwest Regional Council of 2.1 Carpenters, et al., Case No. 2:19-CV-181-CBM (MRW), pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California.
- 2.2 Challenging Party: a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of information or items under this Order.
- "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items: information (regardless of 2.3 how it is generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), and as specified above in the Good Cause Statement.
- Counsel: Outside Counsel of Record and House Counsel (as well as 2.4 their support staff).
- Designating Party: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or 2.5 items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "CONFIDENTIAL."
- <u>Disclosure or Discovery Material</u>: all items or information, regardless 2.6 of the medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter 2.7 pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as

| {

2

3

5

67

8

10

1112

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

an expert witness or as a consultant in this Action.

- 2.8 <u>House Counsel</u>: attorneys who are employees of a party to this Action. House Counsel does not include Outside Counsel of Record or any other outside counsel.
- 2.9 <u>Non-Party</u>: any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity not named as a Party to this action.
- 2.10 <u>Outside Counsel of Record</u>: attorneys who are not employees of a party to this Action but are retained to represent or advise a party to this Action and have appeared in this Action on behalf of that party or are affiliated with a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that party, and includes support staff.
- 2.11 <u>Party</u>: any party to this Action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).
- 2.12 <u>Producing Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this Action.
- 2.13 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing, storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 2.14 <u>Protected Material</u>: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL."
- 2.15 <u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.

# 3. <u>SCOPE</u>

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or

compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel, including during the course of depositions and in correspondence between/among counsel, that might reveal Protected Material.

Any use of Protected Material at trial will be governed by the orders of the trial judge. This Order does not govern the use of Protected Material at trial.

### 4. **DURATION**

Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order will remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. Final disposition will be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this Action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this Action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

## 5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. The Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper

purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

5 6

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other Parties that it is withdrawing the inapplicable designation.

7 8

9

Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in 5.2 this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

11 12

10

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

13

14

15

(a) for information in documentary form (e.g., paper or electronic documents, but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix at a minimum, the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" (hereinafter "CONFIDENTIAL legend"), to each page that contains protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins).

A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents available for inspection

need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated

which documents it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and

before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection will be

documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine

deemed "CONFIDENTIAL." After the inspecting Party has identified the

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the "CONFIDENTIAL legend" to each page that contains Protected Material. If only a 28

which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then,

portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins).

- (b) for testimony given in depositions that the Designating Party identify the Disclosure or Discovery Material to be designated as Confidential (i) on the record during the deposition, or (ii) within thirty (30) calendar days of the receipt of the official transcript of the deposition, by providing the other Parties written notice of the page and line numbers of the transcript to be designated as Confidential.
- (c) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL." If only a portion or portions of the information warrants protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, will identify the protected portion(s).
- 5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

# 6. <u>CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS</u>

- 6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time that is consistent with the Court's Scheduling Order.
- 6.2 <u>Meet and Confer</u>. The Challenging Party will initiate the dispute resolution process (and, if necessary, file a discovery motion) under Local Rule 37.1 et seq.

\_\_

6.3 The purpose of the meet and confer process is to resolve disputes amicably. Accordingly, this Section 6.3 is not to be used as a weapon to intimidate any party from making a good faith argument in conformity with applicable legal and ethical standards. The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding will be on the Designating Party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. Unless the Designating Party has waived or withdrawn the confidentiality designation, all parties will continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the Court rules on the challenge.

#### 7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

7.1 <u>Basic Principles</u>. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this Action only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this Action. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the Action has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 13 below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

- 7.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only to:
  - (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this Action, as well

as employees, including consultants, staffing agency employees and independent contractors, of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this Action;

- (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action;
- (c) Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
  - (d) the Court and its personnel;
  - (e) court reporters and their staff;
- (f) professional jury or trial consultants, mock jurors, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
- (g) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information;
- (h) during their depositions, witnesses, and attorneys for witnesses, in the Action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary provided: (1) the deposing party requests that the witness sign the form attached as Exhibit A hereto; and (2) they will not be permitted to keep any confidential information unless they sign the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the Designating Party or ordered by the court. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material may be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order; and
- (i) any mediator or settlement officer, and their supporting personnel, mutually agreed upon by any of the parties engaged in settlement discussions.

# 8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a Party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this Action as "CONFIDENTIAL," that Party must:

- (a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification will include a copy of the subpoena or court order;
- (b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification will include a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order; and
- (c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order will not produce any information designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party will bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this Action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

# 9. <u>A NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE</u> PRODUCED IN THIS LITIGATION

(a) The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this Action and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL." Such information produced by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be

- (b) In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non-Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an agreement with the Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party will:
- (1) promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;
- (2) promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated Protective Order in this Action, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific description of the information requested; and
- (3) make the information requested available for inspection by the Non-Party, if requested.
- (c) If all Parties so agree, the Non-Party, or a Party acting on behalf of itself and the Non-Party, may seek a protective order from this Court by submitting a Stipulation signed by all Parties and a proposed order, in lieu of filing a noticed motion for a protective order pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 26(c). Otherwise, the Non-Party must proceed by a noticed motion. If the Non-Party fails to seek a protective order from this Court within 14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, or such longer period of time as the Parties may agree, the Receiving Party may produce the Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-Party timely seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party will not produce any information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination by the court. Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party will bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material. If all Parties so agree, the Non-Party may seek an order allocating the expense of seeking protection in this court by submitting a Stipulation signed by all Parties, in lieu of filing a

noticed motion for such an order pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 26(c).

### 10. <u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

# 11. <u>INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE</u> PROTECTED MATERIAL

When a Producing Party gives notice to Receiving Parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e), insofar as the parties reach an agreement on the effect of disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, the parties may incorporate their agreement in the stipulated protective order submitted to the court.

#### 12. MISCELLANEOUS

12.1 Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future.

8

9 10 11

13

15

16

12

14

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

Filing Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Protected Material may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue. If a Party's request to file Protected Material under seal is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the information in the public record unless otherwise instructed by the court.

#### FINAL DISPOSITION 13.

After the final disposition of this Action, as defined in paragraph 4, within 60 days of a written request by the Designating Party, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party) by the 60 day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence, deposition

Case	2:19-cv-00181-CBM-MRW Docum	nent 172 Filed 01/30/20 Page 19 of 21 Page ID #:4788
1 2 3 4 5	work product, even if such mate	, attorney work product, and consultant and expert crials contain Protected Material. Any such archival Protected Material remain subject to this Protective DURATION).
6 7 8	contempt proceedings, financial	or evidentiary sanctions, reference to disciplinary action at the discretion of the Court.
9 10 11	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THRO	OUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.
12 13	DATED: January 30, 2020	DENTONS US LLP /s/ Susan M. Walker
14 15 16 17 18		SUSAN WALKER KARL M. TILLEMAN (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) DOUGLAS JANICIK (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) ERIN N. BASS Attorneys for Plaintiff The Icon at Panorama, LLC
19 20 21		REICH, ADELL & CVITAN A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION  /s/Laurence S. Zakson LAURENCE S. ZAKSON
22 23		
24 25		Attorneys for Defendants Laborers International Union of North America Local 300, Ernesto Pantoja, Sergio Rascon, Angel Olvera and Smith Engineering and Management
26 27		
28		

Case	2:19-cv-00181-CBM-MRW	Document 172 Filed 01/30/20 Page 20 of 21 Page ID #:4789
1	DATED: January 30, 202	0 DECARLO & SHANLEY
2		
3		/s/ Daniel M. Shanley DANIEL M. SHANLEY
4		Attorneys for Defendants Southwest Regional
5		Attorneys for Defendants Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters, Daniel Langford, Alexis Olbrei, Peter Rodriguez, and Ron Diament
6		
7	DATED: January 30, 202	0 TYSON & MENDES
8		
9		/s/ Mitch Malachowski MITCH MALACHOWSKI
10		Attorneys for Defendant Swape, LLC
11		, we have a second of the seco
12		
13	FOR GOOD CAUSE SH	OWN, IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	DATED	
15	DATED:	HON. MICHAEL R. WILNER
16		United States Magistrate Judge
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 20 -

# EXHIBIT A

2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND
3	
4	I,[full name], of[full
5	address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and
6	understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States
7	District Court for the Central District of California on [date] in the case of
8	[insert case name and number]. I agree to comply with and to be
9	bound by all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and
10	acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and
11	punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in
12	any manner any information or item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective
13	Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of this
14	Order.
15	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court
16	for the Central District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this
17	Stipulated Protective Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after
18	termination of this action. I hereby appoint [full
19	name] of [full address and
20	telephone number] as my California agent for service of process in connection
21	with this action or any proceedings related to enforcement of this Stipulated
22	Protective Order.
23	Date:
24	City and State where signed:
25	
26	Printed name:
27	
28	Signature: